

AD _____

Award Number: W81XWH-12-1-0142

TITLE: "Olfactory Deficits in MCI as Predictor of Improved Cognition on Donepezil"

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. Davangere Devanand

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: The Trustees of Columbia University in City of New York
New York, NY 10032-3725

REPORT DATE: April 2014

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Report

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE April 2014		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED 1 April 2013 – 31 March 2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Olfactory Deficits in MCI as Predictor of Improved Cognition on Donepezil.				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W81XWH-12-1-0142	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-12-1-0142	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Davangere Devanand, M.D. E-Mail:				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) The Trustees of Columbia University in City of New York Christine Vallani 630 W 168 th Street, 4 th Floor New York, NY 10032-3725				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Background. A large proportion of patients with amnesic mild cognitive impairment (MCI) convert to Alzheimer's disease (AD) and hence acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI) are commonly prescribed in patients with MCI though it is not FDA approved for this condition. Therefore, predicting which MCI patients are likely to improve cognitively with AChEI treatment is important. Hypotheses. 1. The acute decrease in UPSIT (Odor identification test) scores from pre- to post- atropine nasal spray challenge conducted at baseline (0 weeks) will be associated with cognitive improvement (SRT total recall and modified ADAS-cog) from baseline to 24 weeks and 52 weeks of donepezil treatment. 2. Increase in UPSIT scores from baseline to 8 weeks of donepezil treatment will be associated with cognitive improvement from baseline to 24 and 52 weeks. Exploratory Hypothesis. The acute atropine-induced decrease in UPSIT scores, and increase in UPSIT scores from baseline to 8 weeks, will be associated with a decreased likelihood of conversion to dementia at 52 weeks. Study Design. In this proof of concept study, 60 patients with mild cognitive impairment will be treated openly with donepezil 5 to 10 mg per day and followed for 52 weeks (one year), with an atropine challenge test also conducted at baseline.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS olfaction, donepezil, Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment, predictor, improvement					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Body.....	1
Key Research Accomplishments.....	2
Reportable Outcomes.....	2
Conclusion.....	2
References.....	2

INTRODUCTION: Individuals with cognitive deficits, whether due to traumatic brain injury or due to mild cognitive impairment, may improve with donepezil treatment. Olfactory identification deficits may be an early test that can identify who in the high-risk population is most likely to develop dementia and therefore identifies patients for early interventions. Based on the study results, the presence of olfactory identification deficits can be used to decide if the patient should receive treatment with a cholinesterase inhibitor like donepezil.

BODY: 111 patients have been screened for this protocol. 30 patients have been recruited. 3 patients never began the study, 2 patients dropped-out of the study, 11 patients have completed the study, and 14 patients are currently participating in the study. Of the 25 active patients and completers, 11 are female and 14 are male. The mean age of the patients is 70.56, standard deviation 8.87.

All active patients and completers have been given baseline pre and post atropine spray UPSITs, have completed all procedures accordingly to the protocol schedule, and have been treated with either 5mg or 10mg Donepezil daily. 7 patients could not tolerate donepezil 5 mg daily. For clinical reasons, they were started on a comparable cholinesterase inhibitor, galantamine or rivastigmine, and are being followed at the scheduled time-points as per the intent-to-treat principle employed in this study. The data obtained will be analyzed in two ways: in primary analyses we will count donepezil dropouts with subsequent time-point assessments handled as planned originally under the intent-to-treat principle, and in secondary analyses we will combine the data in patients who receive donepezil or galantamine or rivastigmine since they are all cholinesterase inhibitors with very similar effects on cognition and function (and we will include all assessments under the intent-to-treat principle). This change was approved by the NYSPI/Columbia local IRB and also approved by the DoD regulatory authorities.

There has been one adverse event to date. A patient was admitted to hospital for 4 weeks for neglecting to take his psychiatric medications and alcohol abuse. He was released, now has a well-defined psychosocial support team involved in his care, and has completed our study.

Intranasal Atropine has been administered to 27 subjects. For the last 19 subjects, we used the “squirt system”, developed by Scheibe & colleagues in 2008. The squirt system includes a 2 cm sterile plastic tube attached to a one cc syringe. The syringe is filled with 0.1 cc of atropine and then the tube is placed in the nasal cavity parallel to the nasal septum, and directed at the nasal cleft (back and up towards the cribriform plate). The atropine is then squirted up towards the superior turbinate bone in the nose. This is immediately followed by the standard 1 minute Mecca position, 45 minute delay, and repeat UPSIT test, as before. The squirt system has been producing consistent results. In September 2013, we submitted the “Atropine Nasal Spray for Olfactory Challenge in Humans” to the Militarily-Relevant, Peer Reviewed Alzheimer’s Disease Program’s (MRPRA) “Product Database”.

From a data analytic standpoint, we will first include all subjects in evaluating the change in UPSIT from pre- to post-atropine spray as a predictor of donepezil treatment response, and then separately assess the impact of the first 8 subjects where different techniques were used as noted above. We will include and then exclude the subset of the first 8 patients in statistical analyses and then assess if the results change. Of note, the atropine challenge-induced change in UPSIT scores represents the first of the two main hypotheses; the second hypothesis pertaining to change in UPSIT scores from

baseline to 8 weeks as a predictor of longer-term donepezil response is unaffected by the change in the atropine spray challenge procedure.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS: No presentations or publications to date from this study.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES: None; study is not yet complete.

CONCLUSIONS

We are actively recruiting for this study from the sources described in the original grant application and expect to increase recruitment further with the proposed IRB modification and increased advertising efforts both in print media and the internet.

REFERENCES:

Scheibe M, Bethge C, Witt M, Hummel T. Intranasal administration of drugs. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2008 Jun;134(6):643-6. doi: 10.1001/archotol.134.6.643.

APPENDICES:

None.